

Court File Number: CU-17-11773-0001

Superior Court of Justice
Commercial List

FILE/DIRECTION/ORDER

Bridging Finance Inc
Plaintiff(s)
AND
Thomas Canning et al
Defendant(s)

Case Management ☐ Yes ☐ No by Judge: _____

Counsel	Telephone No:	Facsimile No:
See Counsel slip- attached		

- ☐ Order ☐ Direction for Registrar (No formal order need be taken out)
☐ Above action transferred to the Commercial List at Toronto (No formal order need be taken out)
☐ Adjourned to: _____
☐ Time Table approved (as follows): _____

Two motions proceeded before me on May 14/18.
The First dealt with Richter seeking an order amongst other things, releasing it from further claims.
The second dealt with the dispute over the fees and disbursements of Blake Mumfry.
This endorsement only deals with

17 May 18

Date

McE

Judge's Signature

☒ Additional Pages 3

Superior Court of Justice
Commercial List

FILE/DIRECTION/ORDER

Judges Endorsement Continued

The first motion ~~was~~^{is} A second endorsement will follow with respect to the second motion.

The only dispute concerning the order sought by Richter involves additional language sought by the Respondents. This language narrows the language used in the Target CCAA.

The Appellee agrees, as does Badlaw Capital Corp and 58150 Ontario Inc. Richter takes no position.

I do not believe that the additional language is warranted. This case is completely distinguishable from the decision in Re Target 2015 ONSC 7574.

RST Maravetz's decision in Re Target dealt with an extremely complicated CCAA matter. Moreover, the decision dealt the approval of Maritz's interim reports and activities.

Superior Court of Justice
Commercial List

FILE/DIRECTION/ORDER

Judges Endorsment Continued

The order in this case involves a discharge and a release. If there is a problem, the time to raise it is now. This is far different than an interim approval order where the stakeholders will continue to work together.

Further, the relationship between Richter / Agolic and the Resps has been a fractious one. There is also other litigation between 258150 / Bodbi and the Resps. In these circumstances I see no reason to impart limiting language proposed by the Resps into the order.

The order shall go without the proposed language. I have reviewed the activities and fees. All are reasonable.

Richter shall provide me with two

Court File Number: _____

Superior Court of Justice
Commercial List

FILE/DIRECTION/ORDER

Judges Endorsment Continued

Copies for signature.

If costs are an issue I can
be spoken to at a 9:30 apt to
resolve the issue. Parties will exchange
and file their submissions not to
exceed 3 pages excluding the Bills of
Costs in advance.

McE